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President’s Welcome

On behalf of the SWACJ officers, please accept our sincere welcome to Denver, Colorado and the 2008 SWACJ annual meeting. We have an excellent if somewhat small program scheduled. This just means that all panels will have a good audience and all presenters will have more time than usual.

Please, join the group at the Past President’s Reception starting Thursday evening at 6:30pm in the Ballroom on the 14th floor of the Hotel VQ. The room has wonderful views. We have the groups favorite eats planned and a cash bar will be available.

We start Friday off with a Continental Breakfast in the Ballroom at 7:30am and Presentations will begin promptly at 8:30am. The Panels are in the two Boardrooms on the 11th floor. I am pleased to note that several panels focus on the broad topic of the meeting “Privacy and Scrutiny: Criminal Justice Enters the Age of Observation.” Panels continue through the afternoon and begin again after breakfast on Saturday.

At the luncheon on Friday, Janice Joseph, President Elect, will bring us greetings from the Academy of Criminal Justice Sciences. Then, Steven Olsen, ASAC, with the FBI will speak about the security arrangements for the 2008 Democratic National Convention here in Denver. He will focus on issues related to the Conference theme.

Be sure to attend the SWACJ Business Meeting on Friday at 4:30pm. We have several items for the membership to consider or receive as reports. A new editor for the Journal is to be approved. We have several proposals for the 2009 meeting location and will need to seriously examine the options.

We have provided a guide to attractions in the Denver area in the conference packet. Please, consider partaking of some of these if your time allows. A free shuttle is available from the hotel to downtown Denver.

The officers offer their sincere thanks to the sponsors of this year’s meeting. Their contributions go a long way in guaranteeing a successful meeting.

Please, enjoy your stay, pick up some ideas and information from the panels, and share news with old or new friends.

Phil Rhoades
2007-2008 SWACJ President
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# SWACJ 2008 Annual Meeting
## Schedule of Events

### Thursday, October 2, 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:00pm-5:00pm</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>Hotel VQ Lobby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00pm-10:00pm</td>
<td>Presidents Reception</td>
<td>Ballroom, 14&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; floor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Friday, October 3, 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:30am-8:30am</td>
<td>Continental Breakfast</td>
<td>Ballroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00am-5:00pm</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>11&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30am-9:45am</td>
<td>Panel Sessions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Panel 1 Networks and Privacy</td>
<td>1104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chair/Discussant: Georgen Guerrero, Texas State University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Personal Computer and Home Network Security: Implications for Privacy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mark R. McCoy, University of Central Oklahoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internet Social Networking Websites and Expectations of Privacy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roger Enriquez, University of Texas at San Antonio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:45am-10:00am</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td>11&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00am-11:30am</td>
<td>Panel Sessions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Panel 2 Criminal Justice Education</td>
<td>1112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chair/Discussant: Chip Burns, Texas Christian University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observing Ourselves: Teaching Ethics to Criminal Justice Students</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>James David Ballard, California State University Northridge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kathleen Bailey, Grand Valley State University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Importance of Criminal Justice Advisory Boards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paul R. Bowdre, Western Nebraska Community College</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45am-11:15am</td>
<td>Panel Sessions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Panel 3 Politics, Policy, and Culture</td>
<td>1104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chair/Discussant: Quint Thurman, Texas State University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Implications of Federalism on Prosecutorial Decisions-Dual Sovereignty, Federalization of Criminal Law and the Petite Policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thomas White, University of Texas-Pan American</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Fire of Thoreau: Symbolic Politics and 3rd Party Political Platforms  
Nancy E. Marion, University of Akron  
Willard M. Oliver, Sam Houston State University

Jim Crow, Racial Profiling, and Cultural Continuity  
M. George Eichenberg, Tarleton State University

Panel 4 Surveillance, Privacy and Law  
Chair/Discussant: Mark Pogrebin, University of Colorado, Denver

CCTV and Privacy Issues  
Janice Joseph, Richard Stockton College of New Jersey

Big Brother is Looking – A Microanalysis of the Patriot Act and its Invasion of Privacy on American Citizens and Others  
Tracy Andrus, Wiley College

11:45am-1:15pm  Luncheon  
Ballroom

Announcements  
ACJS Greeting  
Keynote Speaker Steven Olson, FBI

1:30pm-2:45pm  Panel Sessions

Panel 5 The Drug War and Asset Forfeiture  
Chair/Discussant: Richard McWorter, Prairie View A&M University

Differences and Similarities in Correlates of Drug Abuse Violations among Juveniles – Are We Losing the War on Drugs?  
Fay Williams, Prairie View A&M University  
Solomon Osho, Prairie View A&M University

Asset Forfeiture Gone Wild: An East Texas Case Study  
George R. Franks, Jr., Stephen F. Austin State University  
Karen S. Price, Stephen F. Austin State University

Panel 6 A Panel of Diverse Delights  
Chair/Discussant: David Montague, University of Arkansas Little Rock

Death, discipline and disparity: A cross-national comparison of corporal punishment and the death penalty  
Matthew Pate, State University of New York at Albany  
Laurie A. Gould, University of Texas at Arlington

The National Crime Victimization Survey: An interrupted series  
Joan Crowley, New Mexico State University

True Façade: A Content Analysis of the Moment of Truth Television Program  
David J. Carrothers, Texas Woman’s University

2:45pm  Break
3:00pm-4:15pm  Panel Sessions

Panel 7 Justice Technology Issues     1104
Chair/Discussant: Katy Eichenberg

Jailhouse Rock: An Examination of Current Legal issues Regarding the Use of Conducted Energy Devices on Pre-Trial Detainees
**Vidisha Barua, Penn State Altoona**
**Robert M. Worley, Penn State Altoona**

Getting Away With Murder: The Fallacies of DNA Testing
**Lawanda Jackson, Wiley College**
**Juan Cardenas, Wiley College**

Panel 8 Prisoner Aggression and Reintegration    1112
Chair/Discussant: W. T. Jordan, Texas A&M University--Texarkana

Reintegration of the Elderly: What Happens After Prison?
**Lorie Rubenser, Sul Ross State University**
**Gloria Priddy, Sul Ross State University**

A qualitative analysis of inmates’ perceptions on inmate aggression and other Prison stereotypes
**Georgen Guerrero, Texas State University**

4:30pm-5:30pm  SWACJ Business Meeting 1104

5:30pm-6:30pm  State Meetings
  
  Texas
  1104
  Colorado
  1112

Saturday, October 4, 2008

7:30am-8:30am  Continental Breakfast     Ballroom

8:00am-10:00am  Registration 11th Floor

8:30am-9:45am  Panel Sessions

Panel 9 Police Issues

Chair/Discussant: Robert Worley, Penn State Altoona

An Empirical Examination of College Degree Requirements Effects on Police
Recruiting Success  
*W. T. Jordan, Texas A&M University—Texarkana*

Police Stress and Marriage: The Importance of Marital Status in Predicting Occupational Stress of Law Enforcement Officers  
*Hector Esparza, Sam Houston State University*

Panel 10 Retail and Campus Security  
Chair/Discussant: M. George Eichenberg, Tarleton State University

Technological Advances in Retail Security  
*Camille Gibson, Prairie View A&M University*

Security on University Campuses: From Panic to (Gun) Panacea  
*Philip D. Holley, Southwestern Oklahoma State University*  
*Dan Brown, Southwestern Oklahoma State University*

9:45am-10:00am  Break

10:00am-11:30am  Panel Sessions

Panel 11 National Security and Terrorism  
Chair/Discussant: Camille Gibson, Prairie View A&M University

Geospatial Patterns of Terrorist Cells  
*Kim Rossmo, Texas State University*

Making the Connection: Russian Organized Crime as a Threat to National Security  
*Jennifer Carreon, Texas State University*  
*Valerie Hollier, Texas State University*

Panel 12 Case Management Practices  
Chair/Discussant: Philip Rhoades, Texas A&M University—Corpus Christi

Using Innovative APS caseworker training methods to address increased scrutiny  
*David Montague, University of Arkansas at Little Rock*

Organizational Readiness for Culture Change: The Case of Travis County CSCD and their Evidence-based Practices Model  
*Floyd Berry, Tarleton State University*  
*Gerald Piechocki, Tarleton State University*
LISTING 2008 Program
With Abstracts

Thursday, October 2, 2008

1:00pm-5:00pm  Registration     Hotel VQ Lobby

7:00pm-10:00pm  Presidents Reception    Ballroom, 14th floor

Friday, October 3, 2008

7:30am-8:30am Continental Breakfast Ballroom

8:00am-5:00pm  Registration     11th Floor

8:30am-9:45am Panel Sessions

Panel 1 Networks and Privacy     1104
Chair/Discussant: Katy Eichenberg

Personal Computer and Home Network Security: Implications for Privacy
Mark R. McCoy, University of Central Oklahoma

The paper presents preliminary findings of a study conducted to determine the current state of personal computer and home network security practices in Oklahoma. Data was collected on the use of anti-virus software, firewalls, spyware protection and security of home wireless networks. The study also collected data on the sophistication of the computer user and possible reasons for lack of computer security practices. Findings relate to possible use of personal computers and home networks used to attack national infrastructure.

Internet Social Networking Websites and Expectations of Privacy
Roger Enriquez, University of Texas at San Antonio

A reasonable expectation of privacy is the cornerstone of the 4th Amendment. This study examines differences in expectation of privacy and beliefs about government surveillance
in college students. By incorporating aspects of multiple cultural theories we argue that the use of social networking sites on the Internet influences the expectation of privacy. Implications of the findings and directions for future work are discussed.

Panel 2 Criminal Justice Education

Chair/Discussant: Chip Burns, Texas Christian University

Observing Ourselves: Teaching Ethics to Criminal Justice Students
James David Ballard, California State University Northridge
Kathleen Bailey, Grand Valley State University

Ethics instruction usually focuses on case studies of others behaviors. This paper focuses on the student’s sense of ‘self’ in the acquisition of ethical skills, an inward focus rather than external. The theory and practice behind this approach is that good ethical behavior and ethics awareness starts with the individual. Self awareness of ethical behavior and direct experiential engagement with the dilemmas that challenge internalized belief systems provide an internal set of safeguards, a way more engaging than looking at others’ behavior. This ethics curriculum is designed to teach ethics to future criminal justice professionals from the inside out. It represents a departure from traditional ethics instruction and is grounded in theory and practice. (Abstract edited by Program Chair)

The Importance of Criminal Justice Advisory Boards
Paul R. Bowdre, Western Nebraska Community College

External advisory boards are a key method for criminal justice programs to develop mutually beneficial partnerships with the criminal justice community, civic groups, and other organizations in the community. Advisory boards are also an excellent means for academic programs to provide their commitment to civic engagement while utilizing the board members as outstanding resources for program development. This paper describes the three Criminal Justice Advisory Boards and the regional Corrections Advisory Board at Western Nebraska Community College (WNCC). Highlights of the paper include a discussion of the development of the advisory board process, the mutually beneficial relationships established, and benefits experienced by the college in the development of its criminal justice program.

9:45am-10:00am Break

10:00am-11:30am Panel Sessions

Panel 3 Politics, Policy, and Culture

Chair/Discussant: Quint Thurman, Texas State University

The Implications of Federalism on Prosecutorial Decisions-Dual Sovereignty, Federalization of Criminal Law and the Petite Policy
Thomas White, University of Texas-Pan American

Where overlapping criminal jurisdiction exists, both state and federal prosecutors may prosecute the same criminal episode without violating double jeopardy under the dual
sovereignty doctrine. The Department of Justice (DOJ) has established procedures and factors for determining (1) who should have primacy in prosecuting an office and (2) limiting when subsequent federal prosecutions may be brought under an internal DOJ procedure called the *Petite* Policy. The paper will explore the increasing federalization of criminal law, its impact on constitutional federalism and dual sovereignty, and the DOJ’s use of the *Petite* Policy in initiating prosecutions and prosecuting subsequent to state prosecutions.

**The Fire of Thoreau: Symbolic Politics and 3rd Party Political Platforms**
*Nancy E. Marion, University of Akron*
*Willard M. Oliver, Sam Houston State University*

This study draws upon the theory of symbolic politics, which posits that political acts are viewed as symbols conveying a political meaning that are an end unto themselves, in order to examine the elements of criminal justice policy in third party political platforms. It is hypothesized that third parties use their party platforms to make symbolic, rather than substantive, statements. Data collected from a variety of sources related to third political parties including the digital archives of the *American Presidency Project* and the *American Reference Library* were used to assess third political party platforms from 1868 to 2004. A content analysis of these third party platforms, as they relate to crime and justice issues, will be used to test the hypothesis.

**Jim Crow, Racial Profiling, and Cultural Continuity**
*M. George Eichenberg, Tarleton State University*

This paper discusses the uses of racial segregation laws in Texas between 1868 and 1968 to maintain an outdated social order left over from the slave system and the after effects of these laws during the late 20th and early 21st centuries. The essential argument of this paper is that racial segregation laws conditioned African-Americans to accept limitations on their rights while conditioning agents of social control to place such limitations on the rights of African-Americans; in effect, racial profiling has replaced Jim Crow as a mechanism for perpetuating an outdated social order just as Jim Crow laws replaced slavery.

**Panel 4 Surveillance, Privacy and Law**

**CCTV and Privacy Issues**
*Janice Joseph, Richard Stockton College of New Jersey*

The use of closed-circuit television (CCTV) as a tool to fight crime and terrorism has become more prevalent in the United States. However, civil liberties advocates have raised privacy concerns about its use. This paper examines the various uses of video surveillance and other visual technology by public and private entities to prevent crime, and the associated legal and constitutional implications.

**Big Brother is Looking – A Microanalysis of the Patriot Act and its Invasion of Privacy on American Citizens and Others**
*Tracy Andrus, Wiley College*
This study examines the constitutionality of the Patriot Act and its potential threat to the rights guaranteed in the fourth Amendment of the Constitution. Since the infamous 911 attacks on American Soil privacy rights of individuals in America and abroad have continued to erode. This study further investigates the guarantees of the fourth amendment which states that “The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures shall not be violated, and no warrant shall issue, but upon probable cause…”

11:45am-1:15pm  Luncheon
Ballroom

Announcements
ACJS Greeting

Keynote Speaker Steven Olson, FBI

Security at the 2008 Democratic National Convention

1:30pm-2:45pm  Panel Sessions

Panel 5 The Drug War and Asset Forfeiture

Chair/Discussant: Richard McWorter, Prairie View A&M University

Differences and Similarities in Correlates of Drug Abuse Violations among Juveniles – Are We Losing the War on Drugs?
*Fay Williams, Prairie View A&M University*
*Solomon Osho, Prairie View A&M University*

Drug abuse among juveniles has become a major concern because of the tremendous negative impact on the individual, the community and criminal justice system. This study seeks to determine the trends in drug abuse violation among juveniles. The Z-test revealed that there was no significant difference of the means at the 95% level. The findings also revealed that there was an increase in the rate of these violations in most states except Louisiana, West Virginia, and Mississippi. It can be concluded that some progress is being made in ‘the war on drugs’, however, continued effort is necessary in order to achieve consistent reduction.

*Asset Forfeiture Gone Wild: An East Texas Case Study*
*George R. Franks, Jr., Stephen F. Austin State University*
*Karen S. Price, Stephen F. Austin State University*

The paper is a case study of an incident in an East Texas County involving multiple issues related to asset forfeiture. The paper presents the case from the initial traffic stop, through the search, seizure and eventual disbursement of seized money. The researchers discuss the history of asset forfeiture as a tool for drug interdiction. The researchers make recommendations that should be initiated to force such programs to more closely follow the original intent of the Legislature.

Panel 6 A Panel of Diverse Delights

Chair/Discussant: David Montague, University of Arkansas Little Rock
Death, discipline and disparity: A cross-national comparison of corporal punishment and the death penalty
Matthew Pate, State University of New York at Albany
Laurie A. Gould, University of Texas at Arlington

Corporal and capital punishment have established places in history. Once ubiquitous, punishments of the body have been supplanted by imprisonment, community sanctions, and fines. Similarly, capital punishment has been eschewed in favor of carceral punishment in most countries. While the majority of countries employ neither corporal nor capital punishment, there are many that still rely on one or the other. Employing a Foucauldian framework, we posit that countries with greater social inequities will be more likely to employ punishments of the body. Economic and social power measures are used to predict modalities of punishment.

The National Crime Victimization Survey: An interrupted series
Joan Crowley, New Mexico State University

The 2006 Criminal Victimization report disclosed that funding for the National Crime Victimization Survey has been whittled away to the point that the data can no longer be reliably compared with prior years. This paper documents the changes to both the survey and the reporting of the results that have left victimologists with a permanent, possibly irreparable gap in our understanding of changes in crime over time.

True Façade: A Content Analysis of the Moment of Truth Television Program
David J. Carrothers, Texas Woman’s University

The purpose of this research is to use content analysis to explore 11 subjects’ individual behaviors and behavioral changes with their respective audiences during the Moment of Truth television program in 2008. Since the television program’s premise relies on pre-production polygraph examinations to determine the veracity of subjects’ answers to questions, this research contextually examines factors related to subjects giving a false answer on stage or choosing to stop answering questions and take the money earned for “telling the truth.” Subjects’ social capital, body movements, motivations, and risks are analyzed exploring the dramaturgical presentation while answering questions before these audiences.

2:45pm Break
3:00pm-4:15pm Panel Sessions
Panel 7 Justice Technology Issues
Chair/Discussant: Georgen Guerrero, Texas State University

Jailhouse Rock: An Examination of Current Legal issues Regarding the Use of Conducted Energy Devices on Pre-Trial Detainees
Vidisha Barua, Penn State Altoona
Robert M. Worley, Penn State Altoona

Tasers and stun guns are conducted energy devices used by the jail officers as non-lethal weapons to subdue inmates. This paper explores jail officer liabilities with regard to pre-trial detainees. Using the standards set by Bell v. Wolfish (1979), Graham v. Connor (1989), Monell v. Department of Social Services of the City of New York (1978), and City of Canton v. Harris (1989), we conclude that the growth of stun gun and taser use by jail officers must be accompanied by increased policy development, and training in order to limit civil liabilities.
Getting Away With Murder: The Fallacies of DNA Testing  
_Lawanda Jackson, Wiley College_  
_Juan Cardenas, Wiley College_

This study examines the accuracy of DNA Testing. In our present society, members of society are being sentenced to death and set free because of DNA testing. Is DNA Testing 100% accurate? What happens when the .001% fallacy rate result in killers going free and innocent people being sentenced to death or many years of incarceration and what, if anything can be done about it.

Panel 8 Prisoner Aggression and Reintegration  

_Chair/Discussant: W. T. Jordan, Texas A&M University--Texarkana_

_Reintegration of the Elderly: What Happens After Prison?_
_Lorie Rubenser, Sul Ross State University_
_Gloria Priddy, Sul Ross State University_

This paper will address the practical situation facing elderly inmates who are returned to the community after serving time in a correctional institution. Topics of discussion include the availability of aftercare programs, consequences of a felon label and age specific difficulties. Suggestions for improving services for this group will also be made.

_A qualitative analysis of inmates’ perceptions on inmate aggression and other Prison stereotypes_
_Georgen Guerrero, Texas State University_

This paper examines the perceptions of inmates focusing on issues of prison aggression and prison stereotypes through quantitative methods. The data were collected through a self report study from over four hundred inmates during their final hours of incarceration. The research offers insights as to what inmates believe about prison sexual assaults, why some offenders might be targeted more than others, and allows for the development of theoretical explanations on how to avoid being sexually assaulted in prison. The study’s findings could be beneficial to young inmates that are entering prison and prison personnel working in prison.

4:30pm-5:30pm  
_SWACJ Business Meeting_

1104

5:30pm-6:30pm  
_State Meetings_

Texas  

1104  

Colorado  

1112

_Saturday, October 4, 2008_
Panel 9 Police Issues

Chair/Discussant: Robert Worley, Penn State Altoona

An Empirical Examination of College Degree Requirements Effects on Police Recruiting Success
W. T. Jordan, Texas A&M University—Texarkana

One basis for resistance to implement college degree requirements for police officers has been the hypothesized negative effects of such a requirement on minority and female hiring. Claims that such fears are unfounded rely heavily on Carter and Sapp’s (1991) assertion that law enforcement can effectively recruit minorities with a college education supported by education data of police and general populations. This paper uses a national survey of police recruiting success to test the theory that college requirements hurt police recruiting of minorities and women.

Police Stress and Marriage: The Importance of Marital Status in Predicting Occupational Stress of Law Enforcement Officers
Hector Esparza, Sam Houston State University

This study explores whether married and unmarried police officers report different levels of occupational stress and burnout. Using a sample of police officers working in a large metropolitan department, differences in the role of individual characteristics, work environment, and coping strategies on police stress are compared by marital status. The results indicate that for both groups, the use of destructive coping mechanisms is the strongest predictor of work-related stress. In turn, work-related stress has the highest impact on occupational burnout. However, the significance of all other measured predictors, such as negative exposures, perceived unfairness, and camaraderie, varies between marital statuses.

Panel 10 Retail and Campus Security

Chair/Discussant: M. George Eichenberg, Tarleton State University

Technological Advances in Retail Security
Camille Gibson, Prairie View A&M University

The retail industry has been a leader in the development of technology to protect enterprise. This paper describes the most recent technological developments in the industry’s efforts to curtail both the insider and the outsider threat. These measures include improvements in loss prevention training, the quantity and rigor of empirical research and the development and use of a variety of surveillance and alert technology and other merchandise monitoring devices.
Security on University Campuses: From Panic to (Gun) Panacea
Philip D. Holley, Southwestern Oklahoma State University
Dan Brown, Southwestern Oklahoma State University

Security has been a serious issue on university campuses following the Clery Act of 1990 and most recently with the shootings at Virginia Tech University (2007) and Northern Illinois University (2008). In light of the Heller decision--the Supreme Court decision of 2008 dealing with the 2nd Amendment--there has been renewed interest in permitting some individuals on campus to carry concealed weapons, heretofore prohibited. The presentation will seek to examine whether guns on campus represent a serious enhancement of security or a panacea.

9:45am-10:00am Break
10:00am-11:30am Panel Sessions

Panel 11 National Security and Terrorism
Chair/Discussant: Camille Gibson, Prairie View A&M University
Geospatial Patterns of Terrorist Cells
Kim Rossmo, Texas State University

This paper presents the final results of a large research project that examined various geospatial measures of terrorist cells in urban Turkey. Terrorist organizations typically structure themselves on the basis of small groups or cells. Cell operatives, while needing to maintain secrecy, must still accomplish a number of functions, including surveillance, meetings, communication, and equipment/weapon acquisition and storage. Consequently, the geographic arrangement of the cell site locations is important for minimizing travel, exposure, and risk. An understanding of how terrorist cells arrange themselves in urban environments can support counter-terrorism efforts by facilitating data management and suspect prioritization.

Making the Connection: Russian Organized Crime as a Threat to National Security
Jennifer Carreon, Texas State University
Valerie Hollier, Texas State University

Making the Connection: Russian Organized Crime as a Threat to National Security
Russian Organized Crime (ROC) is of concern to law enforcement and intelligence agencies because of the nature and extent of illegal activities that may threaten national security. There is however, no measurement to define a threat to national security from an organized crime perspective. This paper explores the circumstances under which Russian Organized Crime may be said to constitute a threat to national security by drawing connections between known activities of its criminal enterprises and existing criteria for threats to national security.

Panel 12 Case Management Practices
Chair/Discussant: Philip Rhoades, Texas A&M University—Corpus Christi

Using Innovative APS caseworker training methods to address increased scrutiny
David Montague, University of Arkansas at Little Rock
Cases in recent years dealing with government behavior to protect senior citizens have prompted increased scrutiny of adult protective service (APS) agencies. This additional attention on such agencies has also stimulated many to address APS training to provide increased scrutiny of the public during ongoing cases. This study provides understanding of the online training in Arkansas to orient new and retrain current APS case workers; including supervisors and administrators. Caseworkers are provided both comprehensive and simple refresher curriculum (over 2000 PowerPoint slides) specifically geared to understand aging, abuse-neglect-exploitation, administrative responsibilities, and best practices for respectful and effective work.

Organizational Readiness for Culture Change: The Case of Travis County CSCD and their Evidence-based Practices Model

*Floyd Berry, Tarleton State University*
*Gerald Piechocki, Tarleton State University*

This paper explores the challenges that Travis County (Austin) CSCD has experienced in implementing culture change in a large probation department in the direction of an evidence-based practices (EBP) model. The organizational revamping and process changes were preceded by an organizational assessment by The JFA Institute, the hosting of a special conference on EBP, dialogue and training of managers, probation officers, and staff, dialogue and support from the judiciary and prosecutor’s office, and the visionary leadership of the director of the CSCD. Some changes include the creation and integration of a diagnostic unit with appropriate supervision strategies, and the assurance of program fidelity, among other things.
Doctor of Philosophy

Master of Arts in Criminal Justice and Criminology
Master of Science in CJ Leadership and Management
Master of Science in Criminal Justice
Master of Science in Forensic Science
Master of Science in Security Studies

Bachelor of Arts in Criminal Justice
Bachelor of Arts in Vicitim Studies
Bachelor of Science in Criminal Justice

Education, Research, Leadership and Diversity in the Pursuit of Excellence.

For more information, contact Doris Pratt at 936.294.3637 or icec_decp@shsu.edu.

A Member of The Texas State University System
GRADUATE STUDIES IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE
Texas State University - San Marcos

About the Program: The Master of Science in Criminal Justice (MSCJ) consists of a 36 credit-hour curriculum, which may be completed with a thesis or non-thesis option. The 20 full-time members of the faculty deliver courses primarily in the evenings to the more than 100 currently enrolled graduate students, approximately 25 of whom are on graduate student stipends as either teaching assistants or research assistants.

About the Department: Our growing department features Drs. Mark Stafford, Brian Withrow, and Georgen Guerrero as new additions to Texas State. Earlier this year the University recognized Dr. Kim Rossmo as the College of Applied Arts’ first and only endowed research professor.

About the University: The University is situated on the San Marcos River and at the edge of the Texas Hill Country mid-way between Austin and San Antonio. University enrollment this year is 29,125 students.

Prospective students can find more information at: http://www.cj.txstate.edu/ or they can contact the graduate advisor, Dr. Donna M. Vandiver at vandiver@txstate.edu or (512) 245-7907.
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2008 SWACJ Program Addendum

Corrections:

Panel 9 Police Issues is incorrectly listed in the Schedule of Events. It is to be in room 1104. It is correctly listed in Program with Abstracts.

Please, correct the e-mail address for Dr. Georgen Guerrero, Texas State University in the Participants List to gg27@txstate.edu.

Also, in the Participants List please note that Quint’s Name is Thurman. Apparently, checking lists twice only works for Santa Claus.

Program Additions:

The following paper has been added to Panel 1 Networks and Privacy

Guilty by Popular Opinion
Kandice Stoker, Wiley College
Keyona White, Wiley College

In an age where instant gratification seems to be the order of the day, it is highly conceivable that media excretes extreme control over the thoughts, actions, and feelings of people. Since people are easily influenced by what they see, and hear. It is hypothetically of the researchers in the case of trial by jury media coverage can adversely affect the outcome when the expected outcome isn’t consistent with public opinion. Hence the question, Can the accused really be afforded a fair and just trial when the media is allowed full access?

The Luncheon on Friday, October 3rd will feature two speakers.

Steven Olson from the FBI will be joined by Captain Steven Carter of the Denver PD Operations Planning Unit.

As always, operational necessities may call one of the speakers away or prevent participation. We hope that this will not be the case.